Claims 1-23 are pending in the present application. Reconsideration in view of the above

amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by

Ragland, Jr. (hereinafter "Ragland"), U.S. Patent No. 5,644,192. The rejection is respectfully

traversed.

Ragland discloses a color picture having a tensioned mask and compliant support frame

assembly. As shown in Figure 6, the frame 40 disclosed by Ragland includes first frame

members 42 and 44 and second frame members 46 and 48. The first frame members 42 and 44

each includes a first part 50 formed of a first flange 52 and a second flange 54, and a second part

56. As shown in Figures 3-5 and 9-10, the first flange 52, to an upper end of which the

tensioned mask 24' is welded (See Figure 6), varies in height along a length of the first part 50,

from a minimum height at the ends of the first part 50 to a maximum height at the center of the

first part 50. See col. 3, lines 6-9 of Ragland. This configuration of the frame 40 results in the

mask being cylindrically contoured, that is, the mask is curved along the major axis and straight

along the minor axis. See col. 1, lines 49-51 of Ragland.

In contrast, independent claim 1 recites, inter alia, main frames, each bent at a middle

portion in a width direction and having a portion perpendicular to the tension mask defining a

partition and another portion opposite to the tension mask defining a lower plane. The lower

8

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

suggest a support bent from the lower plane.

plane satisfies the claimed equation $0 \left(\frac{w_1 - w_2}{w_2} \ge 1.0 \right)$ where w_1 is the width of the middle

portion and wz is the width of both ends. Ragland, on the other hand, discloses a curved portion perpendicular to the shadow mask, while the lower plane is straight, that is, the lower plane has a

constant width that would not satisfy the claimed equation of independent claim 1.

Independent claim 2 recites, inter alia, main frames, each having a partition perpendicular to the tension mask and a lower plane bent from the partition with a certain width to be opposed to the tension mask, as well as a support bent from the lower plane. The lower plane satisfies the claimed equation $0 \langle \frac{y_1 - y_2}{y_2} \ge 1.0$, wherein y_1 is the width of the middle portion and y_2 is the width of both ends. Again, Ragland discloses a curved portion perpendicular to the shadow mask, while the lower plane is straight, that is, the lower plane has a constant width that would not satisfy the claimed equation of independent claim 1. Further, Ragland does not disclose or

Independent claim 12 recites, inter alia, main frames, each having a first portion extending perpendicular to the tension mask and a second portion extending perpendicular to the first portion defining a lower plane, wherein a width of a middle portion of the lower plane is greater than a width of edge portions of the lower plane, the width of the edge portions being greater than zero. Again, Ragland discloses a curved portion perpendicular to the shadow mask, while the lower plane is straight, that is, the lower plane has a constant width.

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

In view of the foregoing reasons, Applicant asserts that independent claims 1-2 and 12

define over Ragland and thus the rejection of independent claims 1-2 and 12 over Ragland

should be withdrawn. Dependent claims 3-11 and 13-23 are allowable at least for the reasons

discussed above with respect to independent claims 1-2 and 12, from which they depend, as well

as their added features.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the

application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes

would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact

the undersigned attorney, **Carol L. Druzbick**, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is

hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this,

concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and

please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel Y.J. Kim, E&

Registration/No. 36,1

Carol L. Druzbick, E

Registration No. 40,287

Assisted by: Jun H. Bang

P.O. Box 221200

Chantilly, VA 20153-1200 703 766-3701 DYK/CLD/JHB:knv

Date: January 29, 2004

10